



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

RECEIVED

SEP 27 2002

TECH CENTER 1600/2900

In re Application of: James W. Ayres

Art Unit: 1615

Application No.: 09/887,318

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

Filed: June 21, 2001

For: A COATED, PLATFORM-GENERATING
TABLET

Examiner: Simon J. Oh

Date: September 19, 2002

I hereby certify that this paper and the documents referred to as being attached or enclosed herewith are being deposited with the United States Postal Service on September 19, 2002 as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS, WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231.

Attorney for Applicant

TRANSMITTAL LETTER

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231

Enclosed is a Response to Restriction Requirement for the above application.

- ☒ No additional fee is required.
- ☒ Please charge any additional fees that may be required in connection with filing this amendment and any extension of time, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 02-4550. A copy of this sheet is enclosed.
- ☒ Please return the enclosed postcard to confirm that the items listed above have been received.

Respectfully submitted,

KLARQUIST SPARKMAN, LLP

One World Trade Center, Suite 1600
121 S.W. Salmon Street
Portland, Oregon 97204
Telephone: (503) 226-7391
Facsimile: (503) 228-9446

By Stacey C. Slater
Registration No. 36,011

cc: Docketing

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: James W. Ayres

Art Unit: 1615

Application No. 09/887,318

Filed: June 21, 2001

For: A COATED, PLATFORM-GENERATING
TABLET

Examiner: Simon J. Oh

Date: September 19, 2002

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this paper and the documents referred to as being attached or enclosed herewith are being deposited with the United States Postal Service on September 19, 2002 as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS, WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231.

Shirley C. Satter
Attorney for Applicant

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

This responds to the Restriction Requirement dated August 19, 2002, concerning the application referenced above. Applicant hereby provisionally elects with traverse claims 1-34, 58, 59, 63, 73, 79-80 and 82-87 of Examiner's Group I for prosecution.

REMARKS

Applicant notes that all of the inventions claimed in the present application are in the same class (424), and the same subclass (474). Based upon the same classification and sub-classification, applicant asserts that there is no undue burden on the Examiner to search all the inventions in the present application. Hence, applicant requests that the Examiner withdraw the requirement for restriction.

Moreover, applicant also disagrees with certain of the reasons stated by the Examiner to support the requirement for restriction. For example, the Examiner states that Groups II and III are related as "sub-combinations disclosed as useable together in a single combination." The Examiner further states that "invention III has separate utility such as a coated tablet comprising